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Agenda

• Brief description of the types of networking paradigms typically encountered in database/cluster applications
• Some experiments in trying to use DPDK in these paradigms.
• Latency measurements, software-engineering considerations
• Conclusions from these experiments
Typical Oracle/DB Problem Space

• Primarily request/response Transactions
• Multithreaded applications, each application typically handling multiple descriptors.
• Networking: typically datagram sockets, using BSD socket based APIs
  > UDP sockets, or,
  > RDS (Reliable Datagram Service) sockets
DB Application Network/Socket Mode

- RDS: Reliable Datagram Service
- Application payload is encapsulated in an RDS header and handed off to some transport that guarantees reliable, ordered delivery
- Transport can be InfiniBand (bypasses TCP/IP stack), or TCP/IP/Ethernet.
- UDP based model is similar, but application has to do extra work to ensure reliable/ordered delivery.
Can We Use DPDK To Accelerate This?

• Some services are CPU bound, latency sensitive
• DPDK allows us to read packets directly from the driver (like IB) and we already have some infra to take care of guaranteed/ordered delivery, so evaluate if/how much latency reduction we can get from DPDK
  > If necessary, we can use our own custom ULP encapsulation over L4.
Using DPDK and KNI?

• DPDK inserts user-space PMDs, so all frames from that NIC are diverted to uspace

• We only want a subset of flows, we dont want to implement every IETF/IEEE protocol in our experiment, so try to use KNI

• Register a receive side callback with the user-space poll-mode driver to filter out “interesting” flows.
  > Interesting flows will be processed by DB software
  > Rest (NFS, SMTP, IP fragments, Routing protocol packets...) is sent to Linux stack via KNI's “vEthX”.
Observations about this approach

• It slows down linux TCP/IP stack
  > How much penalty to the TCP/IP stack?

• Instrument three different types of RTT and compare the numbers:
  > Direct path to DPDK (igb_uio ↔ PMD ↔ kni_rx_cb)
  > DPDK ↔ FIFO/shmem ↔ linux application
  > PF_PACKET ↔ linux application

• Experiment details: 64 byte sized packet with custom ethertype (i.e., flow selection by ethertype).
  Application on the SUT just reflects packet back by swapping dmac, smac.
Avoiding the penalty for the Linux stack

• Latency estimates:
  > Direct path to DPDK- approx (90 μs)
  > DPDK → FIFO/shmem → linux app (2000 μs)
  > PF_PACKET → linux application (150-200 μs)

• High penalty for apps using the linux stack, e.g., NFS, mail, ssh etc!

• Common practice: traffic bifurcation using SR-IOV
  > http://rhelblog.redhat.com/2015/10/02/
  > https://blog.cloudflare.com/kernel-bypass/
SR-IOV based traffic bifurcation

- Create a VF for the PCIe bus
- Use ethtool to set up a traffic filter to pull out “interesting” packets on the VF
- DPDK PMD drivers work with the VF, no penalty for Linux stack
- Non-trivial routing, forwarding, ARP, egress adjacencies still needs special config
Additional Drawbacks..

• Dealing with IP fragmentation/re-assembly:
  > Even if we define the flow by the L4 4-tuple, we have to deal with IP fragments
  > This is slow path, we can let the native kernel stack implementation sort this out for us, but..
  > Application can now get packets either via DPDK path, or from native kernel stack

• In general, the application is reading from multiple I/O descriptors
  > Network packets from the wire,
  > Disk I/O..

• This is typically done using a select/poll/epoll loop.
Classic Server Side Paradigm:

```c
while (1) {
    select(nfds, fd_set, ..);
    /* fd_set may have a mix of TCP, UDP, RDS
     * sockets. After select(), multiple fd's
     * in the set may be ready
     */
    if (incoming client connection request)
        accept() and add new socket to fd_set
    else { /* incoming data */
        Read request;
        Send response;
    }
}
```
Mapping this to DPDK?

- Recommendation was to try to use Rx Interrupt Mechanism: have and wait on a thread per fd, thread will be woken up when packets arrive.
- Major application rewrite needed to adapt to this?
- DPDK library would be placing constraints on the application's threading model
  > Signal delivery issues for single-threaded applications.
- examples/netmap_compat: gives a poll()-ish “fake” file desc with several critical restrictions.
  > Perf comparisons of netmap_compat vs native netmap ongoing.
CPU utilization in busy-poll mode

• DPDK kni example run (uses syntax supplied with DPDK package)
  
  # kni -c 0xf0 -n 4 -P -p 0x1 -config "(0, 4, 5)"

• See DPDK documentation for details of what each arg in this incantation means..

• The effect of this set of arguments is that the poll-mode driver will use CPU 4 for Rx, CPU 5 for Tx.

  > CPUs 4 and 5 will be reported 0% idle on mpstat, even when there is no traffic flowing.

• 100% polling has problems: CPU power limits, PCI bus overhead
Conclusions

• DPDK may be ideal for “hot-potato” forwarding use-cases like ovs, where there are external protocols to set up the forwarding/switching rules, and DPDK is only used to accelerate the core forwarding engine.

• For End-System use-cases,
  > APIs matter. Ease of programmability is important.
  > Need to find an efficient way to co-exist with the existing kernel stack as the fallback for “uninteresting” (to the application) flows and network protocols.
  > Control plane considerations: Observability, Configuration
Backup Slides
Other user pleasers

- Existing “ethtool” application does not give visibility into offloads, detailed driver state..
- Better examples showing how to use h/w offload features
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Managing file descriptors with DPDK

- examples/netmap_compat: gives a poll()-ish “fake” file desc
  - Cannot poll for > 0 or infinite (-1) timeout. Cannot add this to an fd_set that has other I/O descriptors
  - Multiple applications cannot open netmap sockets that listen on the same port e.g., cannot run two instances of the following from netmap_compat:
    ```
    # ./build/bridge -c 0xf0 -n 4 -i 0
    ```
    ## See next slide for details
  - Multiple threads in an app can't create netmap fd's on the same port (netmap_regif() fails)
Barriers to running multiple copies of netmap_compat:

• Hugepage allocation will fail: get_num_hugepages() hogs up all available free_pages.
  > *set num_pages at run time using gdb.*

• Each instance of the example tries to lock /var/run/.rte_config
  > *reset default_config_dir in eal_runtime_config_path() at run time using gdb.*

• Second instance of netmap_compat/bridge then makes the first instance SEGV.