



Intro to the new DPDK Vulnerabilities management process

Maxime Coquelin

DPDK Userspace – Bordeaux – 2019

- ▶ DPDK is a sensitive piece of software
 - Used in telecom infrastructures, public Clouds, ...
 - Interfaces sometimes exposed to untrusted sources
 - e.g. Vhost-user lib with untrusted guests
- ▶ Until now, no formal process defined
 - Who should I contact when I find a possible vulnerability?
 - Is it really a vulnerability or just a bug?
 - Who should work on fixing/reviewing it?
 - How to release the fix?

- ▶ One CVE in 2018: CVE-2018-1059
 - Managed to get it fixed
 - But lots of questions raised
- ▶ The Technical Board decided it was time to define a formal process
 - Inspired by the processes from OVS, FD.io and others.
 - Reviewed by members security teams (e.g. Intel, Mellanox, Red Hat)
 - Voted by the Technical Board
- ▶ <http://doc.dpdk.org/guides/contributing/vulnerability.html>

Reporting a vulnerability



- ▶ <https://core.dpdk.org/security/>
- ▶ Do **not** use Bugzilla to report any possible vulnerability
- ▶ Send an e-mail to security@dpdk.org
 - Use GPG to encrypt the mails (Initial reporting and further communications)
 - Security team members: Ferruh Yigit and Thomas Monjalon
- ▶ Unsure this is a vulnerability? Consider it is one and follow the process!

Reporting a vulnerability



- ▶ The report should contain
 - Detailed information about the vulnerability
 - A reproducer (if available)
 - The fix (if available)
- ▶ But also
 - How the reporter wants to be credited
 - Preferences about the embargo duration (if any)

Vulnerability confirmation



- ▶ The security team reviews the report, involving area experts if needed
- ▶ If the vulnerability is not confirmed
 - Request the reporter to report the issue using the usual channels (Bugzilla)
- ▶ If the vulnerability is confirmed
 - Affected DPDK versions assessment
 - Bugzilla ID allocation from dedicated pool
 - Security score calculation using CVSS Calculator
 - Define embargo duration (if any)
- ▶ Confirmation e-mail sent to the reporter with above info within 3 business days

- ▶ DPDK project is not a CNA (CVE Numbering Authority)
 - Security teams requests a CVE number to a CNA
 - Currently using Red Hat as CNA
 - But Techboard request for Linux foundation to become one
- ▶ Security team uses pre-defined template for its request
 - Description
 - Severity score
 - Embargo duration
 - ...

Fix development & review



- ▶ This step may be started in parallel of the CVE request
- ▶ Fix implemented by the Security team and/or elected area experts
 - Impacted component maintainer
 - Regular and trusted contributor
- ▶ Backport to affected stable version is also prepared

Pre-release disclosure



- ▶ Pre-release disclosure of the security advisory and patches
 - Usually one week before end of embargo
 - Signed with a security team member GPG key
- ▶ Goal → let time for downstream stakeholders to prepare new releases
- ▶ Who is eligible?
 - Operating Systems vendors
 - Major DPDK users
- ▶ How to apply?
 - Send request to the Tech Board (techboard@dpdk.org)

- ▶ End of the embargo
 - Patches are pushed to master and stable branches
 - New versions of the stable branches released
 - Reserved Bugzilla is filed with the security advisory
- ▶ Advisory sent to announce@dpdk.org
- ▶ Patches posted to dev@dpdk.org

Questions?

Maxime Coquelin

maxime.coquelin@redhat.com